

Paper 1

Your paper is extremely solid. There is very little that I can say in terms of polishing up your argument and your thought process is very clear. The one criticism I have is that it may even be too clear. In many instances your point is quite clear to me but you spend up to four more sentences clarifying it. Perhaps all of these are not necessary. Instead you could use some of that space to define the topic of that paragraph. Also while you explain very well why each of these topics is essential to a scientific revolution you do not state why Kelly thinks they aren't necessary. The paper is already quite long, but perhaps taking out some over stressed points and adding this, then showing why it is wrong will strengthen your point even further.